DEC 2 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

AL DE L'ERNANDEZ-DECASTRO

Appln. No. 10/659,325

Date Filed: September 11, 2003

For: FACE MASK

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a [XX] INTERVIEW SUMMARY in the above-identified application.

[] Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27.

[XX] No additional fee is required.

[] The fee has been calculated as shown below:

		((Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)				
		RE	CLAIMS MAINING AFTER ENDMENT		PRI	HEST NO. EVIOUSLY AID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS			
1	TOTAL	٠	9	MINUS	**	20	0			
	INDEP.	1	MINUS	***	. 3	0				
ï	FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM									

	SMALL ENTITY								
		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE						
	x	25	\$						
	×	100	\$						
] :	+	180	\$						
ADDITIO	NAL	\$							

Art Unit: 3743

Washington, D.C.

Examiner: Aaron J. LEWIS

Confirmation No. 3767

Atty.'s Docket: DECASTRO=10
Date: December 22, 2005

OR

OR

- If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
- ** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- *** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

[XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

		Small	Entity										o	ther	Than Smal	En	tity		
		Respo	nse Filed W	ithir	l								R	espo	nse Filed V	Vithi	n		
		[]	First	-	\$ 60.00								ſ)	First	_	\$	120.	00
		[]	Second	-	\$ 225.00			•					(j	Second	-	\$	450.	00
		[]	Third	-	\$ 510.00								[]	Third	-	\$	1020.	00
		[]	Fourth	-	\$ 795.00								[]	Fourth	-	\$	1590.	00
		Month After Time Period Set				Month After Time Period Set													
		[]	Less fees (\$	<u></u>) alread	dy paid foi	or r	mont	th(s) e	xtens	ion of t	ime or	۱						
[1	Please	charge my	Dep	osit Account	No. 02-4	1035 in	n the a	amou	nt of \$	\$			<u></u> .					
[]	Credit	Card Payme	ent F	orm, PTO-2	038, is att	tached	d, aut	thorizi	ing pa	yment	in the	amo	ount (of \$				
ĺ	1	A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no.).																	
ľ	'YI	The Co	ammiccione.	. :					l 40 ob			4141							

The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does <u>not</u> include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Anne M. Kernbau Registration No. 25,884

Facsimile: Telephone:

(202) 737-3528 (202) 628-5197



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	ATTY.'S DOCKET: DECASTRO10
In re Application of:) Art Unit: 3743
Aurora L. Fernandez-Decastro) Examiner: Aaron J. Lewis
Appln. No.: 10/659,325) Washington, D.C.
Filed: September 11, 2003) Confirmation No. 3767
For: MULTIPURPOSE MASK) December 22, 2005

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

The following is a summary of the interview conducted December 14, 2005, between Examiner Lewis and the undersigned.

Applicant's attorney wishes to thank Examiner Lewis for the courtesies extended during the telephone interview of December 14, 2005.

During that interview, claims 19 and 21-28 were discussed. The primary reference cited in the Office Action of November 15, 2005, was Begum, U. S. Patent No. 6,758,215. In the amendment filed November 19, 2004, applicant submitted a declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 demonstrating that she had

In re Appln. No. 10/220,034

invented the mask which is the subject of the present application prior to October 17, 2002, the filing date of Begum. Because of this declaration, Examiner Lewis agreed that Begum was no longer a valid reference, and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Begum and De Saint Rapt had been overcome.

Accordingly, Examiner Lewis said that no further response to the Office Action of November 15, 2005, was necessary, and that he would issue a Notice of Allowance in due course.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

By:

Amne M. Kornbau

Registration No. 25,884

AMK:srd

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\D\Deca\DeCastro 10\Pto\Interview Summary 22 Dec 05.doc